

①

Lecture

Recall ν is a charge if $\nu: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$1) \nu(\emptyset) = 0$$

$$2) \nu\left(\bigcup_n A_n\right) = \sum_n \nu(A_n) \quad \text{for } A_n \text{ disjoint}$$

Typical examples:

$$\nu(A) = \mu_1(A) - \mu_2(A) \quad \mu_1, \mu_2 \text{ are measures}$$

$$\nu(A) = \int_A f d\mu$$

Def Let $\nu: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a charge

A set $P \in \underline{X}$ is positive w.r.t ν if $\nu(A \cap P) \geq 0 \quad \forall A \in \underline{X}$

A set $N \in \underline{X}$ is negative w.r.t ν if $\nu(A \cap N) \leq 0 \quad \forall A \in \underline{X}$

A set $M \in \underline{X}$ is a null set w.r.t ν if $\nu(A \cap M) = 0 \quad \forall A \in \underline{X}$

Lemma 1) A measurable subset of a positive set is positive

2) A union of two positive sets is positive

(same true for negative)

Proof 1) ~~Let~~ Let P be a positive set & $E \subset P$ is measurable \Rightarrow

$$\nu(E \cap A) = \nu((E \cap P) \cap A) = \nu(E \cap (P \cap A)) \geq 0 \quad \forall A \in \underline{X}$$

2) P_1 & P_2 are positive

$$\nu((P_1 \cup P_2) \cap A) = \nu((P_1 \cap A) \cup (P_2 \cap A))$$

$$\underbrace{P_1 \cap P_2}^{\subset P_2} \cup P_2$$

or

② Theorem (Hahn decomposition)

Let ν be a signed measure on (X, \mathcal{X})
 then \exists a negative set A^- & positive set $A^+ = X \setminus A^-$

Proof Let us define \mathcal{N} - a set of all negative sets.

$$\beta = \inf_{B \in \mathcal{N}} \nu(B)$$

We can find a sequence $B_n \in \mathcal{N}$ with $B_n \subset B_{n+1}$

$$\text{and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(B_n) = \beta$$

We define $N = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n$ and note that

$$\nu(N) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(B_n)$$

[It is clear that $\nu(N) \leq \nu(B_n) \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow \nu(N) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu(B_n) = \beta$ and since $N \in \mathcal{N}$
 we have $\nu(N) \geq \beta$]

We want to show $P = X \setminus N$ is positive.
 Assume not $\Rightarrow \exists E : \nu(E) < 0$. If $E \in \mathcal{N}$

then $N \cup E \in \mathcal{N}$ & $\nu(N \cup E) = \nu(N) + \nu(E) < \beta$
 \Rightarrow contradiction.
 So we know that E is not negative so $\exists C \subset E$
 such that $\nu(C) > 0$.

Proceed as follows

- 1) find $k_1 > 0$ such that $\exists E_1 \subset E$ with
 $\nu(E_1) \geq k_1$ and k_1 is the maximal
 of such numbers

$$\left[k_1 = \sup_{k > 0} \left\{ \exists A \subset E \text{ with } \nu(A) \geq k \right\} \right]$$

- 2) Consider $E \setminus E_1$ ($\nu(E \setminus E_1) = \nu(E) - \nu(E_1) < 0$)
 and find $k_2 > 0$ such that $\exists E_2 \subset E \setminus E_1$
 $\nu(E_2) \geq k_2$ and k_2 is maximal

$$k_2 = \sup_{k > 0} \left\{ \exists A \subset E \setminus E_1 \text{ with } \nu(A) \geq k \right\}$$

(3)

It is clear $k_1 > k_2$

We continue this procedure and find a sequence of disjoint sets $\{E_i\} \subset E$ with $v(E_i) > k_i$

This is an infinite sequence, otherwise we find a larger negative set.

Clearly $k_i \rightarrow 0$ as otherwise

$$v\left(\bigcup_i E_i\right) = \infty$$

We define $F = E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$.

Clearly $v(F) < 0$ and if F is negative \Rightarrow we have a contradiction.

So $\exists A \subset F$ such that $v(A) > 0$

Let $k_N > 0$ be such that $v(A) \geq k_N + \epsilon$

Since $A \subset F \subset E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N-1} E_i$ & we have

$$k_N = \sup_{k > 0} \left\{ \exists A \subset E \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{N-1} E_i \text{ with } v(A) \geq k \right\}$$

we actually have a contradiction.

Lemma let P_1, N_1 & P_2, N_2 be Hahn decompositions for ν .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } v(A \cap P_1) &= v(A \cap P_2) \\ &\text{ \& } v(A \cap N_1) = v(A \cap N_2) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{X} \end{aligned}$$

Proof
$$\begin{aligned} v(A \cap (P_1 \cup P_2)) &= v(A \cap P_1) + v(A \cap (P_2 \setminus P_1)) \\ &= v(A \cap P_2) + v(A \cap (P_1 \setminus P_2)) \end{aligned}$$

$$P_2 \setminus P_1 \subset N_1 \quad \& \quad P_1 \setminus P_2 \subset N_2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \begin{aligned} v(A \cap (P_2 \setminus P_1)) &= 0 \\ v(A \cap (P_1 \setminus P_2)) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

~~And~~
$$\begin{aligned} P_2 \setminus P_1 &= (P_2 \cap P_1^c) \cap A = P_2 \cap (P_1^c \cup A) \\ \Rightarrow v(A \cap A) &= v(A \cap P_2) \quad \bullet \end{aligned}$$

(7)

Linear functionals & duality

Def A linear functional on $L^p(X)$ is a map $G: L^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ & $f, g \in L^p$

$$G(af + bg) = aG(f) + bG(g)$$

A linear functional is bounded if $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\forall f \in L^p$

$$|G(f)| \leq M \|f\|_p$$

The norm of G is $\|G\| \equiv \sup\{|G(f)| : f \in L^p, \|f\|_p \leq 1\}$

Theorem A collection of all bounded linear functionals B^* on L^p (or any Banach space) is a Banach space.

Proof 1) B^* is a vector space

2) $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm on B^*

3) Completeness

Let $\{F_n\}$ be Cauchy sequence, i.e. $\|F_n - F_m\| \rightarrow 0$

Hence $|F_n(f) - F_m(f)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \forall f \in L^p$

$\Rightarrow \{F_n(f)\}$ is Cauchy in $\mathbb{R} \Rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow F_n(f) \rightarrow a \equiv F(f)$

It's clear F is a linear functional

Moreover $|F(f)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_n(f)| \leq M \|f\|$

As $\|F_n\| \leq M$

So F is bounded linear functional

$\forall f : \|f\|_p \leq 1$ we have

$$|F_n(f) - F(f)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |F_n(f) - F_n(f)| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F_n - F_n\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|F_n - F\| \rightarrow 0$$

② Theorem ① Let $q > 1$ & $p = \frac{q}{q-1}$, $g \in L^q$

Then $G: L^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$G(f) = \int_X f g d\mu$ is a bounded linear functional and $\|G\| = \|g\|_q$

② Let $q=1$ and $p=\infty$, $g \in L^1$

Then $G: L^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$G(f) = \int_X f g d\mu$ is a bounded linear functional & $\|G\| = \|g\|_1$

③ Let $q=\infty$, $p=1$, $g \in L^\infty$

$G: L^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ $G(f) = \int_X f g d\mu$

is a bounded linear functional & $\|G\| = \|g\|_\infty$

Proof

1) G is linear $|G(f)| \leq \|g\|_q \|f\|_p$

$\Rightarrow \|G\| \leq \|g\|_q$

Take $h(x) = \text{sgn}(g(x)) |g(x)|^{q-1}$

Clearly $h \in L^p$, $\|h\|_p = \|g\|_q^{q-1}$

$G(h) = \int_X h g d\mu = \int_X |g|^q d\mu = \|g\|_q^q =$

$= \|g\|_q \|h\|_p$

$\Rightarrow G\left(\frac{h}{\|h\|_p}\right) = \|g\|_q$

② G is linear $|G(f)| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_1$

$\Rightarrow \|G\| \leq \|g\|_1$

Take $h(x) = \text{sgn}(g(x))$

$G(h) = \int_X |g| d\mu = \|g\|_1$

Theorem (Riesz Representation)

Let (X, μ) be a measure space and μ is σ -finite measure.

Let G be a bounded linear functional on $L^p(X, \mu)$ $1 < p < \infty$. Then $\exists g \in L^q$ ($q = \frac{p}{p-1}$) such that

$$G(f) = \int f g d\mu \quad \forall f \in L^p$$

$$\text{and } \|G\| = \|g\|_q$$

Proof Take any $g \in L^q$ and define

$$V(A) = G(\chi_A) \quad \forall A \in \underline{X} \quad (\text{we assume } \mu(X) < \infty)$$

We can check that V is a charge

1) $V(\emptyset) = G(0) = 0$

2) Take $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ disjoint

$$V(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n) = G(\chi_{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n}) = G(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_n}) =$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} G(\sum_{n=1}^N \chi_{A_n}) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} V(\bigcup_{n=1}^N A_n) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=1}^N V(A_n)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \chi_{A_n} \rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_n} \text{ in } L^p \Rightarrow G(\sum_{n=1}^N \chi_{A_n}) \rightarrow G(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi_{A_n})$$

Since V is a charge we know also that

$$V \ll \mu \quad (\mu(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \chi_A = 0 \text{ a.e.} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow V(A) = \int \chi_A g d\mu = 0)$$

$$(\| \chi_A g \|_1 \leq C \| \chi_A \|_p = 0)$$

④ By Radon-Nikody's we have

$$dM = \int_A g d\mu \quad \text{for some } g \in L^1.$$

We have to show:

$$1) \quad g \in L^2 \quad 2) \quad G(f) = \int_X fg d\mu \quad \forall f \in L^p$$

For any simple $\varphi(x)$ we have

$$G(\varphi) = \int_X \varphi g d\mu$$

$$\sup \left\{ \int_X \varphi g d\mu, \varphi \in L^p(X), \|\varphi\|_p \leq 1, \varphi \text{ is simple} \right\} \\ \leq \|G\|_* \quad (\text{as we take sup over smaller set})$$

On the other hand

$$\|g\|_q = \sup \left\{ \int_X fg d\mu, \|f\|_p \leq 1 \right\} = \\ = \sup \left\{ \int_X \varphi g d\mu, \|\varphi\|_p \leq 1, \varphi \text{ is simple} \right\}$$

Hence $g \in L^q$

2) Take any $f \in L^p \quad \exists \varphi_n \xrightarrow{L^p} f \quad \varphi_n \in L^p$
 φ_n -simple

$$R(\varphi_n) = \int_X g \varphi_n d\mu$$

$$R(\varphi_n) \rightarrow R(f) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X g \varphi_n \rightarrow \int_X g f \rightarrow \text{done.}$$

Def ① Let μ^* be an outer measure on $\mathcal{P}(X)$. We say that $E \subset X$ is μ^* -measurable & $\in \mathcal{A}^*$

if
$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{P}(X).$$

Theorem 1) \mathcal{A}^* is a σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A}
 2) μ^* is σ -additive on \mathcal{A}^* .

Proof 1) $\underline{X}, \emptyset \in \mathcal{A}^*$
 2) if $E \in \mathcal{A}^* \Rightarrow E^c \in \mathcal{A}^*$ (by def)
 3) $E, F \in \mathcal{A}^* \Rightarrow E \cap F \in \mathcal{A}^*$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(A) &= \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \\ \mu^*(A \cap E^c) &= \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F) + \mu^*(A \cap E \cap F^c) \\ \mu^*(A) &= \mu^*(A \cap (E \cap F)) + \mu^*(A \cap (E \cap F)^c) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(A \cap (E \cap F)^c) &= \mu^*(A \cap (E \cap F)^c \cap E) + \\ &+ \mu^*(A \cap (E \cap F)^c \cap E^c) = \\ &= \mu^*(A \cap F^c \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \end{aligned}$$

Hence
$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap (E \cap F)) + \mu^*(A \cap (E \cap F)^c)$$

We see that \mathcal{A}^* is an algebra.

② We can also show if $E, F \in \mathcal{A}$ and $E \cap F = \emptyset \Rightarrow$

$$\mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F)) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap F).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F)) &= \mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F) \cap E) + \\ &\quad + \mu^*(A \cap (E \cup F) \cap E^c) = \\ &= \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap F). \end{aligned}$$

So μ^* is additive on \mathcal{A}^* .

We have to show \mathcal{A}^* is σ -algebra

Take $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$, $E_k \in \mathcal{A}^*$, disjoint

Define $F_n = \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k$, we know $F_n \in \mathcal{A}^*$

Take any $A \subset X$:

$$\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \quad \text{by semi-additivity}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(A) &= \mu^*(A \cap F_n) + \mu^*(A \cap F_n^c) = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap E_k) + \mu^*(A \cap F_n^c) \geq \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^*(A \cap E_k) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \end{aligned}$$

Take limit $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^*(A) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(A \cap E_k) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \geq \\ &\geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $E \in \mathcal{A}^* \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$ is σ -algebra
and μ^* is countably additive.

③ $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}^*$

Take $E \in \mathcal{A}$ & $A \subset X$

$$\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(E \cap A) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \quad \text{by semi-additivity}$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ & find $F_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k$

$$\text{and } \mu^*(A) + \varepsilon \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(F_k)$$

(3)

Since $E, F_n \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\mu^* \equiv \mu$ on \mathcal{A}
we have

$$\mu^*(F_n) = \mu^*(F_n \cap E) + \mu^*(F_n \cap E^c)$$

$$\mu^*(A) + \varepsilon \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(F_n \cap E) + \mu^*(F_n \cap E^c) \geq$$

$$\geq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

Send $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and \square

Note (X, \mathcal{A}, μ^*) is a complete measure space

Let $N \in \mathcal{A}^+$, $\mu^*(N) = 0$ take $E \subset N$

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap N) + \mu^*(A \cap N^c)$$

We have to show

$$\mu^*(A) = \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c)$$

$$\mu^*(A \cap E) = 0 \text{ as } A \cap E \subset N$$

$$\mu^*(A) \leq \mu^*(A \cap E) + \mu^*(A \cap E^c) \text{ by second.}$$

$$\mu^*(A) \geq \mu^*(A \cap E^c) + \mu^*(A \cap E)$$

\Rightarrow done.

① Equivalence of definitions of measurable sets.

Theorem (Hahn uniqueness Th-4)

Let μ be a σ -finite premeasure on \mathcal{A} . Then, extension of μ to a measure on \mathcal{A}^* is unique.

Proof We first prove it for finite measures.

Assume $\exists \nu$ such that ν is a measure on \mathcal{A}^* and

$$\nu|_{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \mu|_{\mathcal{A}}$$

We have to show that $\nu|_{\mathcal{A}^*} = \mu|_{\mathcal{A}^*}$

Take $E \in \mathcal{A}^*$ $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists E_n \in \mathcal{A}$
such that $E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ and

$$\mu^*(E) \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_n) - \epsilon$$

Since ν is a measure on \mathcal{A}^* we have

$$\nu(E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu(E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_n) \leq \mu^*(E) + \epsilon$$

$$\text{Hence } \nu(E) \leq \mu^*(E)$$

$$\text{We also have } \nu(E^c) \leq \mu^*(E^c)$$

$$\mu^*(X) = \mu^*(E) + \mu^*(E^c) \geq \nu(E) + \nu(E^c) = \nu(X)$$

$$\text{Hence } \mu^*(E) = \nu(E) \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{A}^*$$

Extension to σ -finite case is a HW.

(2)

Def \mathcal{F}^d is the σ -algebra of Lebesgue measurable sets
and \mathcal{L}^d is the Lebesgue measure.

Def \mathcal{B} is the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{A} and hence
 $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}^d$
 $\mu|_{\mathcal{B}}$ is called Borel measure.
It is not complete.